

**CITY OF WIXOM
49045 PONTIAC TRAIL
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
TUESDAY, MAY 24, 2022**

Mayor Beagle called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Present:

Mayor: P. Beagle
Deputy Mayor: T. Rzeznik
Councilmembers: P. Behrmann
K. Gottschall
T. Gronlund-Fox
P. Sharpe
R. Smiley

AGENDA CHANGES: (None)

PUBLIC HEARING:

1.) Clean Water State Revolving Fund Application Public Hearing

Mayor Beagle stated that the City will conduct a Public Hearing tonight in accordance with requirements of the Michigan Department of Energy, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE), regarding an application to the Clean Water State Revolving Fund. The application seeks funding assistance for the City's proposed Wastewater System Improvements Project. The Public Hearing is being held to receive comments from interested persons. The action item will take place under New Business #3.

Mr. Sikma said that we have submitted plans for Treatment Plant upgrades and we have requested from the State some potential funding for the future. Mike Darga from Hubbell, Roth and Clark has helped us with this application.

Mr. Darga said we are talking about the project plan for the Wastewater Treatment Plant improvements. This is for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF). The draft project plan was made available to the public over 30 days ago. He said he would give a brief presentation on the project plan itself and what can be expected with the CWSRF. We will cover the overview of the loan, review the project plan and alternatives, the user costs, social and environmental impacts, mitigation of the impacts, and the schedule.

The CWSRF Loan is a low interest loan that is run by the State. Last year, the rate was 1.875% for a one-year loan. For the exercises in this application, we assumed 2%, as we are taking a guess that rates will go up a little. To qualify for the loan, the City needs to submit an approvable project plan, hold a Public Hearing, and pass a resolution adopting a plan. EGLE will get this project plan submitted. We are not going to have the "go" or "no go" from EGLE until probably September or October. Any action tonight won't be seen until later in the year.

He showed an aerial view of the Wastewater Treatment Plant and said we will be talking about the UV System upgrades and the PFAS removal and cleaning. We are aiming at cleaning all seven of the tanks.

The needs for the project are the PFAS treatment and removal from the site, and cleaning of the land application tanks, digester and old Wastewater Treatment Plant tanks. We will look at upgrading the UV System and continue to meet the compliance requirements of the NPDES permit. We have to look at a couple different alternatives for the project. The first was do nothing, no action alternative. This is not a favorable option or alternative because we will have continued degradation of the existing facilities and potential damage to the UV System. We could have increased difficulty getting a discharge permit requirement. There would be a risk of PFAS contamination, higher energy consumption and making these improvements in the future will most likely be impossible.

Focusing on the alternatives for the PFAS removal, a few years ago the City did remove some of the contaminated sludge from the application tanks. That is the alternative we recommend continuing with. This seemed to work very well. Another alternative would be to haul the material off-site which is more expensive. You still have to clean the tanks and treat the material in the tanks that is left over. No matter what, you will have some sort of on-site treatment so it makes more sense to bring that right in from the beginning and go with the first option.

For the UV alternatives, we would look at expanding the existing system and installing a new disinfection system, either in the existing building where it is now or there is room in the existing Plant. It would still be putting in a new UV system. Another alternative would be to replace the UV system with new components, but then the future peak flows would have to be treated with a chemical which is extra cost and a whole other process. That is not really recommended.

Mr. Darga stated that the cost for this project includes the PFAS removal at \$2.5 million and the UV disinfection upgrades at \$2 million. The total project would be \$4.5 million. With a 20-year loan at 2% for the \$4.5 million project, there would be a residential monthly increase of \$3.53. This does not include any principal forgiveness or grants that may be available through this loan process. This is a worst-case scenario.

We had to look at social and environmental impacts. There are long-term and short-term impacts that are both positive and negative. The positive impacts are that you are in compliance with the NPDES permit, improved efficiency and reliability at the Plant, the ability to continue to process adequate wastewater treatment and protect the public health, and improving the process and wear on the equipment. There are really no negative long-term impacts. The short-term impacts are the impacts during construction. The positive impact would be the increase in jobs and workers going out to restaurants or utilizing local businesses. You could even have local contractors. Some negative impacts with typical construction would be noise, dust and traffic related to the construction. Due to this being at the Plant, that would be more of a negative impact for the employees working there, not necessarily the general public.

By doing this, we will impact the wetlands, watershed and other sensitive areas. We will mitigate the short-term impacts related to the construction activities. There are some permits involved for soil erosion and the EGLE watermain construction. We will have to follow any contaminated materials by deciding how we are going to deal with them.

In looking at the schedule, the Public Hearing notice went out on April 22, 2022 and the draft project plan was available April 24, 2022 at the Clerk's Office and online. This is the formal Public Hearing and he hoped the Council would pass the resolution adopting the project plan. On June 1st, we will submit the final plan to EGLE. Construction isn't going to happen until probably the end of 2023. The treatment of PFAS could go into 2024 and the UV disinfection upgrades will not happen until 2024. Mr. Darga talked to the Clerk this morning and she has not received any written or emailed comments from the public.

Mayor Beagle opened the Public Hearing at 7:11 p.m. and read the rules for speaking during a Public Hearing. There were no public comments made, so the Public Hearing was closed at 7:12 p.m.

2.) Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Proposed Budget Public Hearing

Mayor Beagle said that this Public Hearing was for the 2022-2023 proposed budget in accordance with Section 8.3 of the City Charter. The action item will take place under Unfinished Business #1.

Ms. Stamper stated that the City was required to hold a Public Hearing in regards to setting the millage rates and the adoption of the annual budget for the upcoming fiscal year. This Public Hearing was posted in the local newspaper in the May 4th edition, which was in compliance with our City Charter.

Her first slide was a summary of the changes that took place during the Budget Study Session on May 2, 2022. There were a few changes made that totaled a \$3,900 reduction in General Fund expenditures. We did modify the Safety Path Other Fund budget to reflect the \$91,667 contribution from the DDA for the downtown sidewalks. The Expenses for Other Funds were also allocated for the DDA paying for that portion of the sidewalk. Federal Police Forfeiture was amended to add two traffic signs for a total of \$6,000.

Another requirement of the Public Hearing was to discuss the proposed millage rates. The City millage rate is proposed at 11.8821 reflecting a decrease of .0637 due to the Headlee Rollbacks. This equates to a reduction of \$52,063. She showed a chart of the levied millage rates that total 11.8821. General Operating will be set at 7.4503, Operating at 3.0, Local Road Program at 1.1357, and Safety Path Program at 0.2961. With those millages, we are still the eighth lowest in Oakland County compared to 31 other cities.

The next slide was a summary of revenue for the General Fund. We are looking at a decrease of \$354,453 compared to the estimated actual fiscal year 2021-2022. We had an increase in tax revenue of just over \$447,000 and our State Shared Revenue is estimated to decrease by \$357,629 when compared to the actual

revenue received this current year. The majority of that fluctuation was due to receiving a lump sum payment from State Shared Revenue for \$328,807, which was a retroactive adjustment for the Census figures dating back to November 2020. There is also a budgeted decrease of building permits of approximately \$266,000. Miscellaneous Income is down by about \$42,000 due to a decrease in federal grant revenue and video service franchise and PEG fees. We also show a decrease to the DDA contributions since the DDA has now finished paying back the prior year debt that was owed. Now we are entering into the period where they are reimbursing us for City services.

She showed the breakdown of the revenue by category. The majority of our revenue (83%) comes from two main sources, taxes and State Shared Revenue. The remainder was related to building permits, charges for services like the DDA, roads, Library, water and wastewater. There were also the transfers in from the Library to cover their OPEB debt payment and other miscellaneous revenues.

Ms. Stamper showed the summary by Departments of expenditures that are included in this budget. Our Operating Expenditures increased by \$794,101 and we have also reflected the \$3.2 million contribution to Roads, Safety Path and Land Acquisition. The main reasons for this increase were an additional \$145,439 that was required towards our unfunded pension liability, wages and fringes of \$519,847, a one-time HVAC cleaning of \$37,000 and other changes of \$91,815.

The budget provides for the normal costs of our Other Post Employment Benefit (OPEB) in the amount of \$79,435 and pension in the amount of \$181,500 along with an amortization payment of \$359,304. The bonding process for unfunded liabilities was completed in August 2018 and bond payment activity was in two separate Departments within the General Fund. The General Fund reflects an estimated balance at the close of fiscal year 2022-2023 of \$4,691,817. New development/construction in the DDA results in an increase to the captured taxes within the District. Therefore, the DDA covered their annual debt payment and the final repayment occurs this current fiscal year. The proposed General Fund reflects \$157,366 for cost of services provided by the City from the DDA. The DDA millage rate for fiscal year 2022-2023 will reduce from 1.2966 to 1.2766. The budget reflects contributions totaling \$3.2 million to Major Road, Local Road, Safety Path and Land Acquisition. The Budget Stabilization Fund reflects an estimated balance at the close of fiscal year 2022-2023 of \$1,352,817. Our permanent full-time positions remain at 54 employees.

The Solid Waste collection and recycling fee will be reduced from \$180 to \$165 and that was directly related to the recent waste hauler contract change that will take effect on July 1, 2022. Our Sewer utility rates will remain the same as the current fiscal year at \$32.70/mcf along with the customer charges and special sewer costs. This year's cash reserves, along with the utilization of ARPA funding for a large project in the Capital Plan, allowed for no changes to rates this year. Water utility rates will increase from \$39.60 to \$41.00 per unit, along with a slight increase to meter maintenance and customer charges. The residential sewer charge cap, sewer only customers and industrial sewer only customers will remain the same as fiscal year 2021-2022.

Our contribution from the General Fund will be \$995,000 for the Ten-Year Capital Improvement Plan. This plan goes over a period of time so those expenses fluctuate. The total proposed in this budget is \$2,996,423.

She stated that our Major Road improvements are \$887,000 for West Maple Road, Grand River, road and drainage repairs and pavement management. Our Local Road improvements are \$1,115,000 to provide funding for pavement management and preservation, Courts of Highgate and Maple Run II and Cliffs of Loon Lake. The Safety Path improvements total \$1,254,000 and that includes Wixom Road north of Potter to Palmer Drive, connection to the regional system, Wixom Road south of Pontiac Trail, the downtown sidewalks (which is being funded by the DDA), subdivision sidewalks and various maintenance projects. The Wastewater improvements total \$3,460,463 and that is for controllers, BPR system, secondary clarifiers, aeration equipment, HVAC and various upgrades. The Water improvement total \$187,305 and consist of meter replacements, water reliability study, security, water tower repairs, hydrant retrofit and general system repair.

Mayor Beagle opened the Public Hearing at 7:22 p.m. He read the rules for participating in a Public Hearing. There were no public comments made, so the Public Hearing was closed at 7:23 p.m.

MINUTES:

CM-05-64-22: Moved and seconded by Councilmembers Smiley and Behrmann to approve the Budget Study Session minutes of May 2, 2022.

Vote:

Motion Carried

CM-05-65-22: Moved and seconded by Deputy Mayor Rzeznik and Councilmember Behrmann to approve the Regular City Council meeting minutes of May 10, 2022.

Vote:

Motion Carried

CORRESPONDENCE: (None)

CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

There were no comments at this time.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS:

1.) Departmental Reports – April 2022

Councilmember Gottschall inquired about the enforcement of tall grass as he noticed properties, both commercial and residential, that appeared to be in violation of the ordinance. Mr. Brown said they didn't go out soliciting for tall grass violations, but looked into things as they were brought to their attention. He apologized if they missed any egregious properties. They welcomed locations or addresses of any property they noticed in violation. He would talk with the Code Enforcement Officer, Ken Pike, to determine the status of noxious weed complaints and violations.

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox asked if the April PFAS sampling of 9.4 was a total just for the business mentioned within the report. Mr. John said that it was. Councilmember Gronlund-Fox tried to get a comparison between the last few months. Mr. John said when they learned that Plant 5's number increased, they issued a violation and asked them to investigate. He explained the business's granular activated charcoal unit should produce results similar to Plant 4. Councilmember Gronlund-Fox noted the report mentioned F&V had been working with the City to collect information for properties that did not have in-ground grease interceptors. Mr. John said it was his understanding that older businesses had been grandfathered in as long as they were able to maintain the smaller units underneath their sink. He stated that newer restaurants were required to install a special unit that separated the grease.

Councilmember Behrmann asked exactly what they were doing when they solicited for bids because only receiving two responses for Planning and Consulting services seemed low. Mr. Brown believed the situation was the bandwidth was not there for some firms. Councilmember Behrmann recalled they received minimal responses from another request. He questioned what they could do to attract a greater response. Mr. Brown said in addition to the traditional means of soliciting bids they have spread the word verbally. Unfortunately, they couldn't make these folks bid if they believed they did not have the organizational interest to perform the services. Councilmember Behrmann asked if they had been updated about the proposed car dealership along Wixom Road. Mr. Brown would find out the status and report back to them.

CONSENT AGENDA:

CM-05-66-22: Motion and seconded made by Deputy Mayor Rzeknik and Councilmember Gronlund-Fox to approve the Consent Agenda as presented which included:

- 1.) Approval to receive and file:
 - a.) Library Board Minutes of April 25, 2022

Vote:

Motion Carried

TABLED ITEM:

- 1.) CM-05-59-22: Motion and seconded made by Deputy Mayor Rzeknik and Councilmember Smiley to table the Discussion on Charter Commission Review Committee Notes to another meeting so they could further review the notes and Attorney's comments**

CM-05-67-22: Moved and seconded by Councilmembers Behrmann and Sharpe to take from the table and refer it back to the Committee

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox apologized she wasn't in attendance at the last meeting when this was discussed. She hoped to learn why there was such confusion regarding the matter.

Deputy Mayor Rzeknik explained how the City Attorney's comments regarding the Committee's notes wasn't given to them until the Council meeting. They learned

that many of the concerns were covered under the State of Michigan Public Acts or were routine grammatical/spelling errors. They realized that discussing the entire list would take hours so they asked the Committee to review the Attorney's comments, then come back to Council with a consolidated list of three, four or five items that they truly wanted to consider for ballot language.

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox questioned the items that the City Attorney did not address. Mr. Brown believed the attorney only commented on items for clarification or if they'd been addressed by State Law or in another location within the Charter. The Attorney did not make any remarks on what should be or should not be done as that was for Council to decide.

Councilmember Behrmann appreciated the work from the Committee. He stated that we didn't want to put 28 amendments on the ballot, so sending it back to the Committee to tighten up the list was preferred. He would like to see a list of three or four items that the Committee thought needed to be addressed sooner rather than later. The list they received was more of a wish-list and not a list to go over during a regular Council meeting. He said a Special City Council meeting could be held should the Committee feel that all the items needed to be discussed by Council.

Deputy Mayor Rzeknik thought the ballot would be very long considering the Attorney remarked that pre and post Charter language needed to be on the ballot.

Mayor Beagle added that the next election would already require a long ballot given it's a State Election.

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox said it was never their intent to put 28 items on the ballot. The intent was to go through the items the Committee thought was important and have a discussion.

Councilmember Gottschall seconded the comment made by Councilmember Gronlund-Fox. To his understanding, when the Committee was formed, it was to view the Charter in its entirety. They would then bring items to the Council's attention that were not being followed, needed to be amended or questioned. He assumed there was an incorrect expectation because if you reviewed the Charter, you'd understand there were more than five items. He assumed the Attorney meant you'd be required to present the before and after language when it came to the pronoun correction of the Charter, not general amendments. He understood grammatical errors were on the list and knew those items wouldn't need to be discussed at length because they're merely housekeeping items. The fear that they'd be meeting for five hours was unfounded and disappointing. He gathered the expectation was to have the Committee come back with three or four items when there was more that needed to be addressed and/or discussed. He thought there was a big difference between the expectation, understanding and reality. The Committee noticed items within the Charter that were not being followed and millage's that had long since expired. He emailed the other members of Council that were part of the Charter Committee categorizing the items that were pressing, housekeeping, and of other importance that needed to be discussed. He presumed there was a perception that some items would go away and were not important, but

the Committee's understanding was to address all the issues. He figured they needed to reconcile the differences because he couldn't see the list being shrunk down to four items.

Councilmember Smiley saw only three or four items that were ballot concerning. He asked Councilmember Gottschall for further clarification on what he presumed would be ballot items. Councilmember Gottschall thought a lot of the notes in Chapter 4 needed to be addressed. Councilmember Smiley said with the comments from the Attorney, he did not think there would be a lot of items to put on the ballot. He stated he did not see any of the items as crucial for getting them on the ballot this year. He didn't feel rushed and he didn't want to be rushed to get these items on the ballot for this year. He supported the idea of meeting again to create a list that was clean and condensed. Perhaps they put the items in categories such as ballot items, not for the ballot but housekeeping items that did not need to be discussed. He thought the Committee did a bang-up job, but they brought too much to the table. He thought next year's local election would be a perfect opportunity to have Charter amendments on the ballot. He said if there was an item on the list that they felt needed to get on the ballot right away, then it should be discussed; otherwise, waiting until next year would be ideal.

Mayor Beagle wished the itemized list would have been sent to every member of Council.

Councilmember Sharpe thought they needed to manage their expectations and assumed Council did not do a good job of that. His expectation of a Committee was bringing forward to Council the items that needed to go on the ballot. The other items could be reviewed at their leisure. He did not believe any item from the list needed immediate addressing. He'd appreciate the Committee reviewing the list and bringing a few items back to Council.

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox agreed there was nothing on the list that would jeopardize the way the City conducted business. She did not have a problem meeting with the Committee again to narrow the list down.

Councilmember Gottschall clarified that he wasn't trying to hide anything by not including every member of Council on his email; he only sent it to the Committee members in an effort to address the issues from the last Council meeting. He agreed there wasn't any one urgent concern, but it felt like they wanted to take an abundant amount of time to work on this. He questioned why it would take 12 months to figure out four issues. There will be a June 2023 deadline to get ballot language on the November 2023 ballot. He said they'd have 12 months to discuss the issues but it seemed to be a big deal to break it up and go through each item. He was aware that not all of the items needed to go on the ballot, but he believed each item could be discussed. He was unsure which direction to take because he was hearing they didn't want to discuss too much over the next 12 months but bring back some items from the Committee. Hypothetically, if they brought back the majority of the items, they could run into the same scenario. He didn't see an issue with breaking the list up and discussing the items over the next year.

Mayor Beagle stated that he never accused anybody of hiding anything. He only felt they could have gotten further along if the email went to everybody. He assumed this would be a work in progress. He thought it best that the Committee put the list in order of importance and then bring it back to Council so they could get amendments on the next few years' ballots.

Deputy Mayor Rzeznik liked the idea of eliminating the nine items covered under State Law and then separate the items by importance, housekeeping and grammatical/spelling errors.

Councilmember Behrmann did not want to put spelling errors on the ballot. He stood by his decision to let the Committee review the list and condense it down to what they believed needed to happen. He thought if too many items were to be on the ballot, we would run the risk of people voting no on everything. He'd rather they made sure what was on the ballot was well thought out so they had ample time to educate the community.

Councilmember Smiley said the Committee would only have to meet one more time and then they'd come back with a clean list and presentation.

**Roll Call Vote: (6) AYE: Beagle, Behrmann, Gronlund-Fox, Rzeznik, Sharpe, Smiley
(1) NAY: Gottschall**

Motion Carried

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1.) Recommendation to Approve of the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Budget and Adoption of the Requisite Budget Resolutions

CM-05-68-22: Motion and seconded made by Deputy Mayor Rzeznik and Councilmember Gronlund-Fox to approve the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Budget and Adoption of the following Requisite Budget Resolutions:

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-09
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 GENERAL FUND MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS the City Manager heretofore has submitted to this Council an annual budget for the year beginning July 1, 2022, and ending June 30, 2023, as required by the City Charter of this City; and

WHEREAS a Public Hearing was held on the said budget after the publication of notice thereof according to law; and

WHEREAS the Council has carefully considered each and every item of the budget separately.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the several amounts of appropriations herein determined and aggregate thereof (less the estimate of the amount of revenue from other sources) are to be raised by General Tax spread on Real and Personal Property. Taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$882,508,900. Taxable valuation on Industrial Facilities Tax as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$12,838,160. The Tax rate is tentatively determined to be 7.4503 per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-10
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 DEDICATED MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS the electors of the City of Wixom voted November 2020 to permit the levy by the City, beginning July 2021, of a dedicated millage in an amount of up to 3.50 mills (which is equal to \$3.50 on each \$1,000 of taxable value) for four (4) years to provide funds for municipal operating purposes including police and fire, public works, and parks & recreation; and

WHEREAS the City Manager heretofore has submitted to this Council an annual budget for the year beginning July 1, 2022, and ending June 30, 2023, as required by the City Charter of this City; and

WHEREAS a Public Hearing was held on the said budget after the publication of notice thereof according to law; and

WHEREAS the Council has carefully considered each and every item of the budget separately.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the several amounts of appropriations herein determined and aggregate thereof (less the estimate of the amount of revenue from other sources) are to be raised by General Tax spread on Real and Personal Property. Taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$882,508,900. Taxable valuation on Industrial Facilities Tax as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$12,838,160. The Tax rate is tentatively determined to be 3.0000 per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-11
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 GENERAL FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues (Ref. Page 18)</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Taxes and Special Assessments	\$ 8,937,368
Intergovernmental	\$ 2,038,972
Licenses & Permits	\$ 555,800
Other Revenues	\$ 1,642,490
Interfund Revenues	\$ 45,234
Appropriation of Fund Balance	<u>\$ 3,190,735</u>
Total Operating Revenues	<u>\$16,410,599</u>
 <u>Expenditures (Ref. Page 19)</u>	
City Council	\$ 15,626
City Manager's Office	\$ 372,368
Economic Development /Assessing	\$ 231,792
Building (Construction & Dev.)	\$ 511,600
Legal Counsel and Assistance	\$ 58,000
City Clerk	\$ 241,253
Information Systems	\$ 145,015
Financial Administration	\$ 382,523
General Operating	\$ 1,146,890
Building Maintenance	\$ 131,300
Cultural Center	\$ 313,223
Fire	\$ 1,345,727
Police	\$ 3,873,144
DPW	\$ 1,256,259
Zoning Board of Appeals	\$ 3,500
Board of Review	\$ 1,646
Planning Committee	\$ 110,050

Senior Citizen Committee	\$ 84,130
Parks & Recreation	\$ 461,035
Debt Service Pension	\$ 1,020,616
Debt Service OPEB	\$ 509,902
Interfund Transfers	<u>\$ 4,195,000</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$16,410,599</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-12
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LIBRARY MILLAGE RATE**

- WHEREAS** the Library Director heretofore has submitted to the Library Board an annual budget for the year beginning July 1, 2022, and ending June 30, 2023, as required by the Michigan Public Act 164 of 1877; and
- WHEREAS** a Public Hearing was held May 16, 2022, on the said budget after the publication of notice thereof according to law; and
- WHEREAS** the Library Board has carefully considered each and every item of the budget separately and approved this budget for the FY 2022-23; and
- WHEREAS** Section 10a (3) of Michigan Public Act of 164 of 1877 directs the Board of Directors of a library established under the act to report an estimate of library millage "...to the legislative body of the city"; and
- WHEREAS** Section 10a (3) of Public Act 164 of 1877 sets forth that upon receipt of the millage estimate report from the Library Board "the legislative body shall cause to be raised by tax upon the taxable property in the city the amount of the estimate in the same manner that other general taxes are raised in the city."

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the several amounts of appropriations herein determined and aggregate thereof (less the estimate of the amount of revenue from other sources) are to be raised by General Tax spread on Real and Personal Property and that taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$882,508,900 and on Industrial Facilities Tax as equalized is \$12,838,160.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the tax rate is determined as reported by the Wixom Library Board to be 1.0666 per \$1,000 of taxable valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-13
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 BROWNFIELD MILLAGE RATE**

- WHEREAS** Act 197 of the Public Acts of 1975 and Act 450 of the Public Acts of 1980 allow the tax levy of all taxing bodies paid each year on real and personal property in the development area to be transmitted to the Development Authority.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the Brownfield Redevelopment District of the City of Wixom is \$21,996,410, captured value \$16,732,341. The tax rate is tentatively determined to be 12.9487 (includes Library) per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-14
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 DDA CAPTURE MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS Act 197 of the Public Acts of 1975 and Act 450 of the Public Acts of 1980 allow the tax levy of all taxing bodies paid each year on real and personal property in the development area to be transmitted to the Development Authority.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the Downtown Development Authority of the City of Wixom is \$60,667,420, captured value \$54,591,830. The tax rate is tentatively determined to be 12.9487 (includes Library) per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-15
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 DDA SPECIAL MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS Public Act 197 of 1975 authorizes communities to form Downtown Development Authorities to promote economic growth and stability in downtown areas defined by a community; and

WHEREAS Public Act 197 gives such Downtown Development Authorities, with permission of the municipal governing body, the power to levy not more than 2 mills on properties located within said district to partially fund Downtown Development Authority activities; and

WHEREAS the City of Wixom has formed a Downtown Development Authority and the Wixom City Council has considered and approved a millage request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the Downtown Development Authority of the City of Wixom is \$60,667,420, captured value \$54,591,830. Taxable valuation on the Brownfield Redevelopment District as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$781,700, captured value \$452,460. The Tax rate is tentatively determined to be 1.2766 per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-16
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 DDA FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Property Tax	\$ 1,114,541
Delinquent Interest & Penalty	\$ 500
Interest Income	\$ 600
Special Millage	\$ 8,176
Merchandise Sales	\$ 2,000
Donations	\$ 12,000
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ -0-
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 1,137,817</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
DDA Expenditures	\$ 345,585
Transfer to General Fund	\$ 157,366
Transfer to DDA Construction Debt	\$ 464,716
Transfer to Safety Path	<u>\$ 91,667</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 1,059,334</u>
 Excess Revenues over Expenditures	 <u>\$ 78,483</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-17
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 CDBG FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
CDBG Revenues	\$ 52,331
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ -0-</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 52,331</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
CDBG Expenditures	<u>\$ 52,331</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 52,331</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-18
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LAND ACQUISITION FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 275
Transfer from General Fund	\$ 1,000,000
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 1,216,618</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 2,216,893</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Audit	\$ 893
Land Acquisition Expenditures	<u>\$ 2,216,000</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 2,216,893</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-19
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LDFA PROJECT FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Local Share	\$ -0-
Interest Income	\$ 125
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 256,370</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 256,495</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Project Costs	\$ 255,623
Audit Fee	<u>\$ 872</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 256,495</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-20
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 MAJOR ROAD FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 9,000
Act 51 Revenue	\$ 1,288,289
Contribution – General Fund	<u>\$ 1,120,000</u>

Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ <u>-0-</u>
Total Revenues	\$ <u>2,417,289</u>
<u>Expenditures</u>	
Maintenance Expenditures	\$ 465,626
Major Road Capital Expenditures	\$ <u>887,000</u>
Total Expenditures	\$ <u>1,352,626</u>
Excess Revenues over Expenditures	\$ <u>1,064,663</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-21
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LOCAL ROAD FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Real Property Taxes	\$ 784,951
Personal Property Taxes	\$ 135,982
Delinquent Interest & Penalty	\$ 1,200
Industrial Facilities Tax	\$ 7,290
Local Community Stabilization	\$ 33,397
Interest Income	\$ 8,600
County Revenue	\$ 25,000
Act 51 Revenue	\$ 535,436
Contribution – General Fund	\$ 640,000
Appropriation of Fund Balance	\$ <u>-0-</u>
Total Revenues	\$ <u>2,171,856</u>
<u>Expenditures</u>	
Maintenance Expenditures	\$ 341,255
Local Road Capital Expenditures	\$ <u>1,115,000</u>
Total Expenditures	\$ <u>1,456,255</u>
Excess Revenues over Expenditures	\$ <u>715,601</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-22
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LOCAL ROAD MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS the electors of the City of Wixom voted to levy not more than 1.15 mills per year for a period not to exceed fifteen years to provide funding for the maintenance and repair of local and major streets.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the several amounts of appropriations herein determined and aggregate thereof (less the estimate of the amount of revenue from other sources) are to be raised by General Tax spread on Real and Personal Property. Taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$882,508,900. Taxable valuation on Industrial Facilities Tax as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$12,838,160. The Tax rate is tentatively determined to be 1.1357 per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-23
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 SAFETY PATH PROGRAM FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Real Property Taxes	\$ 204,653
Personal Property Taxes	\$ 35,453
Industrial Facilities Tax	\$ 1,901
Delinquent Personal Property	\$ 315
Local Community Stabilization	\$ 8,709
Interest Income	\$ 5,000
Transfer from General Fund	\$ 440,000
Transfer from DDA	\$ 91,667
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ <u>466,302</u>
 Total Revenues	 \$ <u>1,254,000</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Local Road Expenditures	\$ <u>1,254,000</u>
 Total Expenditures	 \$ <u>1,254,000</u>
 Excess Revenue over Expenditures	 \$ <u>-0-</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-24
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 SAFETY PATH MILLAGE RATE**

WHEREAS the electors of the City of Wixom voted to levy not more than .30 mills per year for a period not to exceed fifteen years to provide additional revenues for bike path construction including property acquisition and planning.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Clerk of this City be and is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the Assessor of this City that the several amounts of appropriations herein determined and aggregate thereof (less the estimate of the amount of revenue from other sources) are to be raised by General Tax spread on Real and Personal Property. Taxable valuation as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$882,508,900. Taxable valuation on Industrial Facilities Tax as equalized for year 2022 of the property in the City of Wixom is \$12,838,160. The Tax rate is tentatively determined to be 0.2961 per \$1,000 of Taxable Valuation as equalized.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-25
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 BUDGET STABILIZATION FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 9,500
Interfund Transfers	\$ <u>-0-</u>
 Total Revenues	 \$ <u>9,500</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Transfer to General Fund	\$ <u>-0-</u>
 Total Expenditures	 \$ <u>-0-</u>
 Excess Revenue over Expenditures	 \$ <u>9,500</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-26
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 FORFEITURE TRUST FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Miscellaneous Income	\$ 750
Interest Income	\$ 15
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 735</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 1,500</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Miscellaneous Operating Expenditure	<u>\$ 1,500</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 1,500</u>
 Excess Revenue over Expenditures	 <u>\$ -0-</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-27
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 FEDERAL POLICE FORFEITURE TRUST FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Forfeiture Federal Income	\$ -0-
Interest Income	\$ -0-
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 14,500</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 14,500</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Forfeiture Federal Expenditure	<u>\$ 14,500</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 14,500</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-28
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 INSURANCE FUND – RETIREES BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 150,000
Contribution – General Fund	\$ 79,435
Contribution – Library	\$ -0-
Retiree Insurance Contribution	\$ 87,200
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 273,236</u>
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 589,871</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Audit	\$ 1,016
Consultants & Personnel	\$ 1,400
Insurance Premium	<u>\$ 587,455</u>
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 589,871</u>
 Excess Revenues over Expenditures	 <u>\$ -0-</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-29
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 ANNUAL SOLID WASTE PICKUP FEE**

RESOLVE, the adoption of a resolution establishing a fee for the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023, for solid waste collection and disposal in accordance with Ordinance #161, Section 9, Paragraph A and B as follows:

Description	Single Family	Eligible - 65+ Senior Citizens or Hardship
Per Year	\$165	\$90

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-30
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 SOLID WASTE FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Solid Waste Collection	\$ 550,000
Interest Income	\$ 200
Delinquent Penalty & Interest	\$ 600
Revenue Profit Sharing	\$ -0-
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$ 1,464
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ <u>6,853</u>
 Total Revenues	 \$ <u>559,117</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Solid Waste Collection Expenditures	\$ <u>559,117</u>
 Total Expenditures	 \$ <u>559,117</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-31
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 SPECIAL HOLDING AGENCY FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Special Holding Agency Fund Revenues	\$ 569,128
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ <u>-0-</u>
 Total Revenues	 \$ <u>569,128</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Special Holding Agency Fund Expenditures	\$ <u>568,696</u>
 Total Expenditures	 \$ <u>568,696</u>
 Excess Revenues over Expenditures	 \$ <u>432</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-32
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 CEMETERY FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 30
Sale of Grave Lots	\$ 17,550
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ <u>-0-</u>
 Total Revenues	 \$ <u>17,580</u>

Expenditures

Audit	\$	369
Operating Expenditures	\$	500
Grave Site Purchase	\$	700
Landscape & Maintenance	\$	<u>10,500</u>
Total Expenditures	\$	<u>12,069</u>
Excess Revenues over Expenses	\$	<u>5,511</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-33
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 TRIBUTE DRAIN SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT ROLL**

WHEREAS the City Council held a public hearing on January 11, 2005 regarding the establishment of the Village Center Area Assessment District to fund the construction, operation and maintenance of a new county drain; and

WHEREAS the City of Wixom entered into an agreement with Wixom Village, LLC and Wixom Village Commercial LLC to establish the Village Center Area Special Assessment District in accordance with Section 3.08.180 of the City of Wixom Municipal Code, which permits a special assessment district to be created by contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that said total special assessment roll as prepared by the City Assessor in the amount of \$2,418,914.50 is known as Special Assessment Roll No. 04-001C. Said special assessment for FY 2022-2023 is in the amount of \$213,740.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-34
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Contribution General Fund	\$ 995,000
Interest Income	\$ 6,100
Other Contributions	\$ 430,776
Appropriation from Fund Balance	<u>\$ 1,564,547</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$ 2,996,423</u>
<u>Expenditures</u>	
City Manager	\$ -0-
Economic Development	\$ 1,000
Building (Construction & Development)	\$ 60,000
Finance	\$ 3,000
Clerk	\$ -0-
Facilities	\$ 276,000
Cultural Center	\$ 76,000
Fire	\$ 149,000
Police	\$ 209,400
DPW	\$ 276,817
Parks & Recreation	<u>\$ 1,945,206</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$ 2,996,423</u>

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-35
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 WATER AND SEWER UTILITY RATES**

WHEREAS the City has established a Water Supply System (“Water System”) and Sewer Service System, including a Wastewater Treatment Plant (“Sewer System”) for the residents and businesses of the City of Wixom. By the terms of Wixom Municipal Code, Chapter 13.04, Water Supply System, and Chapter 13.08, Sewer Service System, and as required by State and Federal law, the City establishes rates and charges for services of the Water System and Sewer System in amounts sufficient to pay (i) the expenses of administration and the costs of operation and maintenance of the Water System or Sewer System; (ii) principal of and interest on all bonds secured by a lien on the net revenues of each System; and (iii) the cost of replacement, repairs and improvements to the Water System or Sewer System, and all other requirements of each ordinance authorizing issuance of bonds secured by a lien on the net revenues of the Water System or Sewer System.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. **Findings.** The City has investigated several methods of apportioning the cost of a Water System or Sewer System among users of each system in the City of Wixom. As part of that investigation, the City has considered academic texts, tables used by other communities in the State and in the United States, and has reviewed studies of water use and sewage flows of a variety of establishments to generate a unit factor which most closely approximates the actual use by each user of the Water System or Sewer System. The City Council finds that the following rates and fees, and the method of allocating those rates and fees to users of the Water System or Sewer System, are proportionate to the necessary costs of providing the service at the levels demanded by the users.
2. **Liens.** By section 21 of Act 94, Michigan Public Acts of 1933, as amended, and by the terms of Wixom Code Chapters 13.04 and 13.08, the rates and charges for services furnished by the Water System or Sewer System to residences or businesses located in the City of Wixom shall be liens on the property served. The City shall certify those rates and charges delinquent for six (6) months or more to the City tax assessing officer, who shall enter the amount of the delinquent rates and charges on the tax roll against the premises to which the service was rendered, and shall collect the rates and charges and enforce the lien in the same manner as provided for the collection of ad valorem property taxes assessed upon the same roll along with any applicable fees.
1. **Rates.** The Water System rates and Sewer System rates set forth in following charts are hereby approved and adopted, effective July 1, 2022.

WATER / WASTEWATER UTILITY RATES

Water Rates

Meter Size (inch)	Quarterly Customer Charge
5/8	\$ 55.75
3/4	55.75
1	58.75
1-1/2	231.00
2	315.00
3	396.00
4	588.00
6	701.00
8	818.00
10	1,189.00
Commodity Rate (per MCF) \$	
41.00	

Wastewater Rates

Meter Size (inch)	Quarterly Customer Charge
5/8	\$ 29.50
3/4	29.50
1	35.75
1-1/2	151.50
2	173.00
3	210.75
4	297.00
6	324.00
Commodity Rate (per MCF) \$ 32.70	

Sewer residential cap \$147.20 Quarterly
Flat rate for residential sewer only customer \$96.25 Quarterly
Flat rate for industrial sewer only customer \$136.50 Quarterly

Industrial Pretreatment Program (IPP) Charges

All industrial, commercial and institutional users of the system shall pay a quarterly IPP charge of \$5.01 per unit. Units will be determined from the City of Wixom, Department of Public Services, Services Schedule of Unit Factors (referenced to the Oakland County Department of Public Works Schedule of Unit Assignment Factors dated February 22, 1983, as amended).

High Strength Surcharges

Discharges of high strength wastewater will be charged per pound of each parameter contributed above the allowable limits, as listed below:

Normal Strength Wastewater
 Suspended Solids = 240 mg/1
 BOD = 200 mg/1
 Phosphorus = 10 mg/1

The surcharges will only be applied to high strength wastewater above the allowable limits with no credit being given for wastewater below the allowable limits:

Parameter	High Strength Surcharge
<i>Suspended Solids</i>	\$ 0.22/Pound
<i>BOD</i>	\$ 0.13/Pound
<i>Phosphorus</i>	\$ 6.60/Pound (Eff. 7/1/22 – 12/31/22)
<i>Phosphorus</i>	\$6.85/Pound (Eff. 1/1/2023)

**CITY OF WIXOM
 RESOLUTION 2022-36
 FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 LOON LAKE LITTLE FARMS SANITARY SEWER EXTENSION
 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT ROLL**

WHEREAS the City Council, at their Regular Council Meeting on January 27, 2015, was presented with an agreement to establish the Loon Lake Little Farms Sanitary Sewer Extension

Special Assessment District to fund the construction of a Sanitary Sewer Extension to service the properties located at 1746, 1748, 1750 and 1800 North Wixom Road; and

WHEREAS the City Council authorized the City of Wixom to enter into an agreement with the homeowners Charles A. Phillipson & Patricia A. Thorensen (Husband & Wife), Dale J. Brantigan (a single man), Jonathan E. Tobias & Agnes E. Niedzwiecki-Tobias (Husband & Wife) and Kimberly Brooks Richardson Trustee of James & Barbara Robinson Irrevocable Trust (“Homeowners”), whose addresses are 1746, 1748, 1750 and 1800 North Wixom Road, Wixom, Michigan 48393, respectively, to establish the Loon Lake Little Farms Sanitary Sewer Extension Special Assessment District in accordance with Section 3.08.180 of the City of Wixom Municipal Code, which permits a special assessment district to be created by contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that said total special assessment roll as prepared by the City Assessor in the amount of \$69,355, plus interest, is known as Special Assessment Roll No. 15-001C. Said special assessment for FY 2022-2023 is in the amount of \$2,551.79 plus interest.

**CITY OF WIXOM
RESOLUTION 2022-37
FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN FUND BUDGET**

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>
Interest Income	\$ 500
Other Contributions	\$ 738,204
Appropriation from Fund Balance	\$ 738,404
 Total Revenues	 <u>\$ 1,477,108</u>
 <u>Expenditures</u>	
Transfer to Wastewater	\$ 1,477,108
 Total Expenditures	 <u>\$ 1,477,108</u>

Councilmember Sharpe asked if they ended up with 4% under Wage and Salary if the Wage and Salary Committee reviewed anything yet. Ms. Stamper said that the Wage and Salary Committee did not do a formal review of next year’s salaries but thought they’d meet soon.

Mr. Brown said the amount budgeted was cushioned enough for whatever potential decision was made by the Wage and Salary Committee.

Councilmember Sharpe asked if that was the Wage and Salary Committee’s understanding of the way the process worked. Deputy Mayor Rzeznik said that was how it’s been done in the past as they didn’t get the salary data from the MML until after the budget adoption. Councilmember Sharpe said he’d support the budgeted number as long as it was not the declaration of what every employee would receive. He commented that the Assistant City Manager position had quite an increase and expected it was a place holder number and not a guarantee. Mayor Beagle confirmed.

Councilmember Gottschall figured that the Wage and Salary Committee would have met within the last few weeks to discuss the Assistant City Manager position. He wondered why they did things backwards and discussed wages after they approved

the budget. He felt they should know the salary amounts first so it could be put in the budget. He supposed Councilmember Sharpe was concerned that the budgeted amount would automatically be given.

Mr. Brown said that it could be done either way but historically it's been handled in this fashion. He did not believe it would become a situation where they needed to address the matter, but he supposed they could have a discussion with Wage and Salary to determine if that timeline needed to be changed.

Councilmember Gottschall was not a fan of a number of things within the budget. In particular, he wasn't pleased with how they were spending items and addressing ARPA funding.

Deputy Mayor Rzeznik said it's been his experience within the private and public sector, the budgeted amount was set but discussions were not held for a few months afterward.

Councilmember Sharpe thought 4% was a pretty nice budget number. He recently noticed an article in the Spinal Column indicating that Milford, with a \$17 million budget, gave their employees 2.5%. He hoped the Wage and Salary Committee would consider that they were budgeting 4% when they should be relative to the neighboring cities.

Mr. Brown indicated that 4% was placed in the budget because of the conversations had in previous Council meetings regarding a discretionary number. It was not standard across the board.

Councilmember Gottschall understood the way private business coordinated their budget and that made sense, but they were dealing with tax dollars that were not returned if they were not being used. He thought it made sense to honor discussions about changing the process.

**Roll Call Vote: (6) AYE: Beagle, Behrmann, Gronlund-Fox, Rzeznik,
Sharpe, Smiley
(1) NAY: Gottschall**

Motion Carried

NEW BUSINESS:

- 1.) Recommendation to Approve Amendments to the Intergovernmental Lockup Services Agreements with the Village of Wolverine Lake and the City of Walled Lake Adding an Additional Year of Services for a Fee of \$90.90 Per Prisoner Per Day, Revenue to be Recognized in Account #101-000-626.000 – Prisoner Lodging, and Authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to Sign the Amendments on Behalf of the City**

CM-05-69-22: Motion and seconded made by Councilmembers Smiley and Behrmann to approve amendments to the Intergovernmental Lockup Services Agreements with the Village of Wolverine Lake and the City of Walled Lake adding an additional year of services for a fee of \$90.90 per prisoner per day, revenue to

be recognized in Account #101-000-626.000 – Prisoner Lodging, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the amendments on behalf of the City.

Director Moore explained that this request was to approve two amendments to two agreements, one with the City of Walled Lake and one with the Village of Wolverine Lake. Since 2009, the Wixom Police Department has been lodging prisoners for both communities. The only language in the agreement that was being changed was the rate at which we are going to charge per prisoner per 24-hour period. It is a 3% increase. We are going from \$88.25 to \$90.90 per prisoner per 24-hour period.

Councilmember Sharpe thought 3% sounded like a good increase for what they were paying us. He wondered how we came up with 3%. Director Moore replied that our Service Aids might get a 3% pay raise. The Service Aids are non-union employees.

Vote:

Motion Carried

- 2.) **Recommendation to Approve an Amendment to the Inter-governmental Police Records Management Services Agreement with the Village of Wolverine Lake Adding an Additional Year of Services for a Fee of \$20,884.08, Revenue to be Recognized in Account #101-000-582.035 – Police Records Mgmt Income, and Authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to Sign the Amendment on Behalf of the City**

CM-05-70-22: Motion and seconded made by Councilmembers Gronlund-Fox and Smiley to approve an amendment to the Intergovernmental Police Records Management Services Agreement with the Village of Wolverine Lake adding an additional year of services for a fee of \$20,884.08, revenue to be recognized in Account #101-000-582.035 – Police Records Mgmt Income, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the amendment on behalf of the City.

Director Moore explained that this request was to approve one amendment for one agreement. The agreement is between the City of Wixom Police Department and the Village of Wolverine Lake. Since 2011, the Wixom Police Department has provided the administration of the Police Records Management Services to the Village of Wolverine Lake Police Department. That current contract is due to expire June 30, 2022 and he requested an increase of 2%. He explained that as he looked at what we have done historically with this agreement, he saw that three years ago we gave them a 12% increase followed by a series of 2.5% increases. Upon speaking with their Police Chief, they both believed that 2% was fair based on the level of service we provide them, as well as the services we do not provide them. Director Moore said he put their Chief on notice that perhaps next year the next Police Chief would take a hard look at that number and he might expect something more than 2% next year due to inflation.

Vote:

Motion Carried

3.) Recommendation to Adopt a Resolution for a Wastewater System Improvements Final Project Plan and Designate Tim Sikma as an Authorized Representative to Sign the Submittal Forms for the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy Funding Assistance through the Clean Water State Revolving Fund

CM-05-71-22: Motion and seconded made by Deputy Mayor Rzezniak and Councilmember Gronlund-Fox to approve amendments to the Intergovernmental Lockup Services Agreements with the Village of Wolverine Lake and the City of Walled Lake adding an additional year of services for a fee of \$90.90 per prisoner per day, revenue to be recognized in Account #101-000-626.000 – Prisoner Lodging, and authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to sign the amendments on behalf of the City.

Councilmember Gottschall commented that one of the slides showed the monthly cost to repay the loan. It said “residential expected cost” and he wondered what that meant. Mr. Sikma said that it is the users of the system. The industrial and commercial will be paying for this too. It is an average estimated cost and that is the worst-case scenario. This does not authorize the actual loan; this is just an application for the loan. Once we have an agreement with the State, he would be bringing this back to Council for review. Councilmember Gottschall asked if anyone had approached Tribar about any costs to help reduce the other user monthly fees. He thought all of this seemed to spur from them. Mr. Sikma said that it does in certain situations. There are also other implied things through the State that have changed since then. Councilmember Gottschall asked if we anticipated Tribar contributing any amount toward this. Mr. Sikma replied that they are going to remain in compliance. Any future problems would cause enforcement actions that would include fines and penalties. Councilmember Gottschall confirmed that if we are cleaning everything, we should be showing zero PFAS. Mr. Sikma said we would hope. What we have in storage at the Treatment Plant is not part of the treatment system currently. We want to remove it so we decrease our liability in the future.

Mayor Beagle asked if Tribar had been issued any fines. Mr. Sikma answered that the most recent ones were surcharges where we charged them for phosphorus discharges. During the last six months of 2021, they paid \$20,000. Mayor Beagle asked how long we had been dealing with the PFAS situation. He thought we had been dealing with them long enough and we keep saying they must comply. Obviously, it is not going to happen. He suggested we start hitting them in the pocketbook and maybe they will comply. Mr. Sikma commented that we are following our enforcement program that is certified by the State. As we have problems, we increase that.

Councilmember Behrmann wondered about the notice of the agenda item and the Public Hearing notice. Neither of them sent us back to the 115 slide show, which would have been nice to see prior to the meeting. The only thing attached to the report was the application. Mr. Sikma stated that last month we posted in the Spinal Column and the Council meeting minutes that we would have it available. Councilmember Behrmann said nothing drew his attention to go back to look at it. He said the bigger issue he had was that we were talking about a \$43 per

household tax hike. Every house is going to pay an extra \$43 for us to treat this problem that was basically caused by one industrial client. He understood following the protocol, but this cost us \$4 million worth of problems and we are going to ask every residential household to spend \$43 a year for the next 20 years to pay for it, it just is not fair. Mr. Sikma said that the SRF loan fund was the only State finance available. When we initially applied back in January, they implied that there was going to be principal forgiveness for PFAS related issues. It doesn't make sense for the City of Wixom after review from the State that we finance through an SRF loan. He said he wouldn't recommend it. He was trying to following all the guidelines so we are first in line if there are ways to finance this. This was the venue the State created for us to apply for these finances. Councilmember Behrman said that if there was principal forgiveness, it would make complete sense to go down this path. He won't be in favor in the end if we are asking all of our residents to pay \$43 a year for a problem that was created by one user. Mr. Sikma agreed with that. He didn't feel he would make that recommendation based on what he knows now but he didn't know how the State was responding to the PFAS situations and we are trying to make sure we are in line if there are funds available for that. Councilmember Behrman said he was in support of this application.

Mayor Beagle commented that we are recommending the approval for Mr. Sikma to sign the papers to begin the loan application. We are not committed to anything. Mr. Sikma said that was correct.

Deputy Mayor Rzeznik clarified that Tribar might be the biggest but not the only contributor to the PFAS situation. Mr. Sikma said that was correct. We did residential monitoring early on and the northern residential areas came back with four to five parts per trillion. There was some impact from the residential. The higher concentration we were seeing in the tanks was most likely from a few industries. Deputy Mayor Rzeznik knew that the SRF application was for biosolid tanks and land application tanks and improvements. He asked if that was all on the output side and Mr. Sikma said that was correct. Deputy Mayor Rzeznik asked if anything would be looked at on the input side in terms of grant monies. Mr. Sikma said we would look at the other sides as well. We have not seen those as of yet. Another piece we had in there was the UV disinfection, which is post-processing for disinfection purposes as it goes to the Creek for pathogen reduction and bacteriological activity. That was a portion of the Plant that would benefit and it would be for the whole of the community. Whether we go through that with this particular funding or use the funding we have in our Capital Improvements will be determined later. There is an NPDS permit that will be implied in the next five years. We will need financing to meet those requirements. This application was for a five-year period and he couldn't foresee what was there yet. Deputy Mayor Rzeznik stated that as things progress and we are not able to eliminate all of the discharge from industrial and residential users, there has to be something eventually that comes up on the input side of plants like ours that we can at least not process the contaminated materials.

Councilmember Smiley was glad that Deputy Mayor Rzeznik confirmed the PFAS situation was not just from Tribar. It is an issue that is being dealt with by multiple businesses. We would need these improvements to our facility regardless. The regulations for what was permissible were tightened up. There were businesses

here in Wixom that were in compliance, but the standards got lowered and suddenly they were out of compliance. They are continuing to work on that at quite an expense. He wondered if they were trying to get to a compliance level, but it was a tough standard. Mr. Sikma said that was correct.

Councilmember Sharpe thought we were going to have to have another Public Hearing on this because he wouldn't expect any citizen to read through the 115 pages of engineer-speak and say they didn't like the \$43 for PFAS treatment. The presentation on the website was not the same presentation that was shown to the Council tonight. He asked if the \$4 million project was in the Capital budget, the one just approved. Mr. Sikma replied no because we have not committed to it yet. Councilmember Sharpe said that was why we do budgets. We say we need it, but how we fund it is a different story. If we need the \$4 million, he didn't know why it wouldn't be in the Ten-Year Plan budget we just approved. Now he sees a \$4 million request for 2023/2024 and he can't find it in the budget. He saw a big UV Disinfection Replacement for \$561,000. He wondered where the other \$3.5 million was. Mr. Sikma said that the PFAS was not in the budget. Councilmember Sharpe said he was not saying he wouldn't support a loan but we have to have a better Public Hearing than what we had tonight because that was totally useless. Also, he wondered why it wasn't in the Ten-Year Plan if we know we have to do this. Mr. Sikma said that the UV Disinfection is in the Ten-Year Plan and if the PFAS is not funded through the State, we would need to put it in the Plan. Councilmember Sharpe said that the State was not going to run the project. There was a line for funding sources so if we get a grant from the State, it would be in that line. Mr. Sikma said that we haven't gotten the grant. Councilmember Sharpe said that was why we budget. We don't know where we are going to get the money from and that was all the more reason to put it in there, to alert the Council to it. Mr. Sikma said these storage tanks contain PFAS. We can keep them there for ten years and it would have no impact unless we have to add to them. We can still add materials if needed to them and be in compliance.

Councilmember Gottschall added that it was not in the Capital Improvement Plan because if we weren't getting money to do it, we wouldn't be talking about doing it.

Councilmember Sharpe said that we apply for a loan. If we don't get a loan, we can have a further discussion about whether we want to spend the \$4 million or not, except for the UV Disinfection and the clarifiers, which will happen anyway. We will find other funding sources. Mr. Sikma said that if the NPDS permits require additional items of treatment in the next five years, we will be able to add that into this as well. We are conservative and we want to be able to plan for the worst and make what is best for the City. We don't have to do this.

Mayor Beagle stated that all we are doing now is approving for Mr. Sikma to apply.

Vote:

Motion Carried

- 4.) Recommendation to Rescind CM-05-62-22 and then Award a Bid for Digital Outdoor Signage at Sibley Park to Presidio of Wixom, MI in the Amount of \$19,730 from Account #411-751-986.542 – Capital Improvements – Civic Center**

For point of clarification, Councilmember Behrmann asked if this would be one motion or two separate motions. Mr. Brown replied that the way it was presented was as a single motion because if the first doesn't happen, the second shouldn't happen. This was modeled after a resolution that was done prior to his time. Right now, there was a Council action that failed with a vote of three to three. If the Council rescinds the motion, it will allow them to reconsider that item again as New Business. If they don't, the original motion stands.

CM-05-72-22: Motion and seconded made by Deputy Mayor Rzeznik and Councilmember Gronlund-Fox to rescind CM-05-62-22 and lift it from the table.

Ms. Magee said they were recommending the installation of two digital outdoor signs to be placed on the exterior pillars of the gazebo at Sibley Park. The signs were 55" high and 39" wide and designed for outdoor use so the picture remained clear regardless of the lighting conditions. She said the bid included outdoor mounts, back lights, software, installation and a three-year warranty. She thought the digital signs would provide event sponsors with more exposure and give the park a cleaner look. It was their intent to have the signs replace the current vinyl signs that were displayed on the fence. She explained that the sponsors would be displayed throughout concerts and the screen would rotate based on the level of sponsorship. They planned to use the signs for marketing City events and information during the concerts. She added that the signs would be portable so they could be used at other events/locations. She mentioned the current sponsorship generated \$71,775 with \$43,650 in cash and \$28,000 of in-kind services.

Mr. Brown commented that if the motion failed or wasn't addressed then the May 10th motion would stand and the City would drop the pursuit of the digital signs.

Mayor Beagle wondered about the costs of the stands to make the signs portable, and if the amount was included. Ms. Magee said the costs of the stands were not part of this but they were inexpensive, maybe \$120.

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox appreciated the various uses for the signs. She suggesting putting them in several places for recruitment. She thought the signs were a nice way to recognize the sponsors. She was curious if staff or the sponsors came up with the idea. Ms. Magee said the staff thought of this so they could provide another way to get City information to the captive audience during the concerts.

Councilmember Behrmann supported this when it came before City Council at the last meeting. However, he did not feel comfortable voting to rescind a decision that Council made at a previous meeting. He believed it was bad policy to bring items back because they didn't go the way they wanted. He stated that he would vote no to rescind the motion even though he supported the expense.

Deputy Mayor Rzeznik would vote to support this. He wanted to confirm that Parks and Recreation approved this and the sponsorship dollars could off-set the costs of the signs. Ms. Magee said this was approved by the Parks and Recreation

Commission and they could fund the signs from the sponsorships. Deputy Mayor Rzeznik knew they budgeted \$30,000 for Civic-Center improvements.

Councilmember Smiley knew that it was subjective but he wasn't sure how the signs would look. Also, he wasn't comfortable using \$20,000 worth of equipment for events that didn't even last the entire day. He assumed that he could get on board with this if they came back with a more comprehensive plan.

Ms. Magee mentioned that all the Departments had several activities, but the Parks and Recreation would receive the most visibility. She felt that any Department could use it at any point.

Councilmember Smiley knew the stands didn't cost much, but was irritated that they weren't included in the cost given to Council. The initial plan was to use the signs during the ten summer concerts and because of the push back they received, the usage spontaneously increased. He would appreciate an all-inclusive plan for the utilization of the signs. Additionally, he was still on the fence about this being an ordinance issue.

Ms. Magee said they looked into the ordinance and set up the system so the signs wouldn't be visible from the road and not scrolling in a continuous motion. Councilmember Smiley was happy they clarified that concern, but felt that only meant the signs became more constricted. Ms. Magee commented that they couldn't put it on the street, nor would they want to.

Councilmember Gottschall thought the report did not reflect how the signs would support an actual need to increase sponsorship or make the sponsors more visible; nor did it include the tools on how they'd make it happen. He commented that the Fire Department already had a sign in front of their building, the Civic Center had a sign in front of their building, the City had social media and a website. He wasn't sure what relevant information there was that wasn't already available in other places. He worried the sign could get damaged because the stands get blown over or knocked down. He thought the use descriptions within the report felt more like a response to criticism from the last meeting rather than providing ideas that needed to be publicized. He did not believe a scrolling sign visible only during the concerts would be favorable to the sponsors because they currently had a 3' x 5' sign that was hung all summer long. He questioned if this came about because they wanted to spend some leftover budgeted money. He could not see how this benefited the resident or the sponsors.

Councilmember Sharpe thought the motion needed to be separated as they should be talking about rescinding first. He felt like this motion was similar to a petulant child that would go to Dad when their Mom didn't give them the answer they wanted. He could not believe they were having the exact same conversation two meetings in a row. He had a difficult time understanding why the answer wasn't accepted. He wondered if they should cancel all Council meetings when the entire Council wasn't present rather than review everything a second time because they don't like the way a vote came out. He was not supporting rescinding nor was he supporting the signs. He offered the City Manager some advice on how the signs could be more appealing. He suggested the vendor come out to a concert to erect

their sign to see what it looked like rather than guessing. He thought it felt a little hypocritical when they spoke against the look of vinyl signs when a downtown business had one on their building. He said regardless of the matter, he would not support rescinding a motion.

Roll Call Vote: (3) AYE: Beagle, Gronlund-Fox, Rzeznik
(4) NAY: Behrmann, Gottschall, Sharpe, Smiley

Motion Failed

CALL TO THE PUBLIC: (None)

CITY MANAGER COMMENTS:

Mr. Brown stated that there were several employees celebrating their work anniversaries, ranging from two years to 24 years of service with the City. Also, Director Moore is doing another "Stuff the Squad Car" and he is asking for anybody who is willing and able to make donations of non-expired, non-perishable food and toiletries. This will benefit the Hospitality House Food Pantry and donations can be made through June 17, 2022.

COUNCIL COMMENTS:

Councilmember Gronlund-Fox thanked and congratulated all the employees who were celebrating their work anniversaries. She asked that we keep the families of the students and staff who were killed in the shooting in Texas in our thoughts and prayers.

Councilmember Behrmann believed he signed up for every notification that he could get from our City website. He gets the City Council agendas and minutes emailed to him, but he has never been able to find a way to get the Planning Commission or Zoning Board of Appeals agendas. More importantly, he was not getting the Public Hearing notices that were put on the website. Maybe that would be a beneficial option for our residents. He has seen a lot of times where something goes to the Planning Commission and a lot of residents don't show up, but when it comes to the Council, we get more people. He hoped there was a way we could make sure our residents that want to be informed can automatically receive the agendas and Public Hearing notices. It could also serve a purpose for our vendors who are looking to bid on our contracts. He felt it would be more beneficial if we could provide our residents with more options. Mr. Brown said he would check it out to see if that was outside of the possibilities now and correct it if that was the case.

Councilmember Smiley echoed Councilmember Gronlund-Fox's comments regarding the shooting in Texas at an elementary school. We all feel that no matter how far away it is. There are several families that need prayers.

Councilmember Gottschall asked if Mr. Sikma won another award and he replied yes. It was the Hatfield Award. Councilmember Gottschall said he did a good job and congratulated him. When it comes to the budget, there was something that he thought to ask in the past but it always slipped his mind. Rather than carrying the binders with us all the time, he wondered if it was possible to get an emailed version. Ms. Stamper replied yes. Councilmember Gottschall said he didn't know

how we could help but with the tornado in Gaylord, he wondered if there was any way to reach out to see if there was something that our City could do to help another Michigan city. He wasn't sure how that would come about, but if there were any resources that we might be able to provide, he hoped we could offer it to them.

Councilmember Sharpe said he didn't want to seem angry all the time. If that was the way it came across, he apologized. He thanked Ms. Stamper for leading the team through the budget process and spending time with him today. He called her and she walked him through a few things that helped him understand. He thanked her for her work on the budget and he thanked the Council for getting it through. He thought it was an effective process this year.

Deputy Mayor Rzeznik echoed some of the comments that Councilmember Behrmann made. He does get questions from residents who say they swore they signed up for email notifications and don't seem to be aware of things. He wasn't sure if that was a user issue, but he thought it was something worth investigating. We have a lot of exciting things going on starting in June with the Family Fun Night and the concerts. Councilmember Behrmann sent the Council some photos of how the Michigan Airline Trail Phase 2 was coming along. It looks like we have some asphalt going down now. That's great. Also, in the past 25-30 years, we had a practice of recognizing the graduating seniors at the first concert. We have gotten away from that practice for the last four years, but he thought it would be something worth considering. Back when Ford Motor Company and other large businesses were in the City, there were nice prizes given away to the seniors. His own children, who are in their late 30s now, were recipients of those. It was a nice recognition of our graduating seniors at this time in their life. He would like us to consider bringing that back. He wished everyone a safe Memorial Day holiday.

Mayor Beagle thanked and congratulated the nine employees who were celebrating work anniversaries, including Mr. Sikma who has been here for seven years. He wanted to reach out to people that we need volunteers for all of our Boards and Commissions. You can go online to read a description of each of the Boards and Commissions. We need volunteers and it doesn't take a whole lot of time but it is a very important tool that the City needs.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 9:05 p.m.

Catherine Buck
City Clerk

Approved 6-14-2022
